

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JEFFREY LAYDON, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD.,
THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO.,
LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI
UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION,
SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION,
J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., J.P. MORGAN CHASE
BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN
SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK,
LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, THE SHOKO
CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK,
UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., THE
BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ
GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF
SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF
SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN
LIMITED, BARCLAYS BANK PLC, CITIBANK, NA,
CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD.,
CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC.,
COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-
BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC,
HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC,
ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS
LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT
PREBON PLC, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: 477717
DATE FILED: 4/17/17

Docket No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (HBP)

718
[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER

WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred on a proposed schedule governing this action; and

WHEREAS, the Court held a conference on April 24, 2017 to address scheduling issues;

It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

I. FACT DISCOVERY

A. The parties shall continue to make rolling productions in good faith following the entry of this Order. The parties shall prioritize for production document discovery relating to issues in support of or in opposition to class certification. All document discovery shall be substantially complete by January 31, 2018.

B. The parties agree to meet and confer after January 31, 2018 regarding the scope of any additional discovery to occur prior to the Court's decision on class certification.

C. All fact discovery shall be completed by the later of (i) 180 days following the Court's decision on class certification or (ii) 90 days after the resolution by agreement or court order of any motions to compel the production of documents or other matters related to the production of documents, including without limitation privilege or redaction logs, or data privacy issues or any motions to compel the production of any witness for deposition or other motion to compel directed to non-document discovery.

D. In the case of discovery disputes, the parties should follow Local Civil Rule 37.2 with the following modifications: Any party wishing to raise a discovery dispute with the Court must first meet and confer in good faith with the opposing party, in person, or by telephone, in an effort to resolve the dispute.

E. The parties are directed to meet and confer as to a protocol pertaining to depositions and interrogatories, and shall submit to the Court, on or before October 31, 2017 a proposed form of order (or competing forms if agreement cannot be reached) to govern fact

witness depositions and interrogatories, including those necessary to support or oppose class certification, in this Action.

II. CLASS CERTIFICATION AND CLASS CERTIFICATION EXPERT DISCOVERY

- A. Plaintiff shall serve class certification expert reports no later than June 15, 2018.
- B. Defendants shall serve class certification expert reports no later than August 15, 2018.
- C. Plaintiff shall serve rebuttal class certification expert reports no later than September 17, 2018.
- D. Plaintiff shall file his motion for class certification no later than 30 days after he serves any rebuttal class certification expert report pursuant to II.C (or, if no such report is served, no later than October 17, 2018).
- E. Any opposition to the motion for class certification shall be filed no later than 60 days after Plaintiff files his motion for class certification.
- F. Any reply brief in support of the motion for class certification shall be filed no later than 30 days after any opposition to the motion for class certification is filed.
- G. The parties agree to negotiate in good faith the timing of depositions of their experts proffered in support of and in opposition to class certification.

III. MERITS EXPERT DISCOVERY

- A. Any party bearing the burden of proof on an issue for which they intend to offer expert opinion shall serve their experts' reports no later than 90 days after the close of fact discovery.

B. Any party seeking to offer expert opinion in response to any reports served under Section III.A., shall serve their experts' reports no later than 60 days after the opening expert report is served.

C. Any rebuttal experts' reports shall be served no later than 30 days after responsive expert reports are served.

IV. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS

A. Any dispositive motions shall be made no later than 90 days after all merits expert reports are exchanged.

B. The opposition to any dispositive motion shall be filed no later than 90 days after the filing of the motion.

C. Any reply shall be filed no later than 45 days after any opposition to the dispositive motion is filed.

50 121612 E9

Dated: New York, New York
April 17, 2017


The Honorable Henry Pitman
United States Magistrate Judge